

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,155	01/13/2005	Ilkka Westman	60282.00232	9041	
32294 7590 12/22/2008 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY LL.P. 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE 14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-6212			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			HO, DUC CHI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2419	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/521,155 WESTMAN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DUC C. HO 2419 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 September 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 42-61 and 80-89 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 61 and 80-83 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 42-60, and 84-89 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/06)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/521,155 Page 2

Art Unit: 2419

Claim Objections

1. Claims 42-60 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Applicant is requested to delete the word "first" in the limitation "comprising a first type of address", since there is only one type of address being mentioned in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 89 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation "A computer program, embodied on a computer readable medium" in line 1 was not described in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/521,155

Art Unit: 2419

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

 Claims 42-60, 84-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 42 is indefinite. It is unclear as to where would the session or message set or session set up request be released to and from?

Claim 42 is ambiguous. It is unclear as to what the intended claiming limitation is by reciting "checking requirements of <u>a message or set of message or session</u> from the message or message set or session set up request", lines 9-10. The same remark applies to claims 45, 84 and 89.

Claim 42 is indefinite. Assuming that the session or message set or session set up request being released, it is unclear as to whether the next step of the released session or message or session set up request is to be forwarded to a contact point or not.

Claim 56 recites the limitation "the first database" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 57 recites the limitation "the first database" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 58 recites the limitation "the second type of address is a routable internet protocol multimedia subsystem identity" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 59 recites the limitation "the routable internet protocol multimedia subsystem identity..." in lines 1-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 84 is indefinite. It appears that there is no correlation between the limitation "deciding,..." in line 4, and the limitations, "deriving the routing address...; and routing the session set up..." in lines 6-9.

Allowable subject matter

- 6. Claims 61, 80-83 are allowed.
- Claims 85-88 would be allowable if claim 84 rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112. 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- Claims 43-44, 46-55, and 60 would be allowable if claim 42 rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- Claims 45, and 56-59 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome
 the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, and if claim 42 rewritten or
 amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in
 this Office action.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3147. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,155

Art Unit: 2419

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2988.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner
/DUC C HO/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2419
12-15-08

Application/Control Number: 10/521,155

Page 6

Art Unit: 2419